

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/938,971	HALL, MARK	
	Examiner	Art Unit DEBBIE M LE	2177

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DEBBIE M LE. (3) _____.

(2) Sarah Lau. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: There is an IDS indicated in file wrapper, Paper # 3. However, it did not enclose in the file. The examiner requested the applicants resubmit the IDS. The applicant faxed it over on March 19, 2004.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



M.A. ROBINSON
PATENT EXAMINER

nl 3/19/04

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required